Ratification
Gotta Pay the Cost to be the Boss
Targets
Questions to Ponder

• To what extent were the compromises reached the result of 18th century Republican ideals held by the delegates and to what extent were they the result of deep-rooted economic and political interests of the regions the represented?

• Double standard for slaves?

• Difference in status, military service, region, experience for Fed/Anti-Fed? Why?
Federalists vs. Anti-Federalists

- **Federalists** – Northerners Jay, Madison, Hamilton
  - Upper class, gov. should regulate commerce, taxes
  - Madison argues for big gov. to be more successful w/ checks and balances
  - Small States – Happy w/ Senate compromise
  - More political experience; high ranks in AmRev

- **Anti-Federalists** – Southerners who feared a legislature filled by rich, high-born Northerners → abuse ind. rights
  - Less political experience; lower ranks in AmRev
  - Fought for freedom, so don’t want to lose it → Bill of Rights
Compromises

- **Virginia Plan** – Representation in legislature based on population
- **New Jersey Plan** – = Rep for each state
- **Connecticut Compromise** – Bicameral legislature with one of each (= in upper house)
- **3/5 Compromise** – How do we count slaves?
Better than the Articles of Confederation? Yes.

- State gov. w/ no relation to citizens → Senate/House w/ = power and responsibility to people
- No court hierarchy → Fed Courts regulate congress
- Single congress of delegates → 3 distinct branches with checks, balances, and well-defined roles
Ratification Timeline

- Nine needed to ratify
- State conventions to ratify. Why? → evades political machines, authorization comes from people
- Needed PA (12/1787), MA (2/1788), VA (6/1788), NY (7/1788) to be valid/trusted
- After ratification, Washington elected President
- NC (11/1789) and RI (5/1790) last holdouts
Bill of Rights?

- **Argument For** – Protect and enumerate the rights of the people; English did it.

- **Argument Against** – Constitution is strong enough without it. Ineffective against Republican gov. b/c it’s too narrow and could serve the exact opposite purpose for which it was designed.